



**International Journal of English Literature and
Literary Theories**

International Peer Reviewed and Refereed English Journal

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGLISH LITERATURE AND LITERARY THEORIES (IJELLT)

ISSN: 3107-6505

Vol.:1: Issue: 2: 2025.

(International Peer Reviewed and refereed English Journal)

Editorial Board:

Dr. R. Gobinath

Prof. Sharif Atiquzzaman

Dr. Dr. Aravindan Balakrishnan

Dr. Athisayaraj Jebakumar J

Dr. P. Dinakar

Dr.P.Chitra

Dr. M.K. Praseeda

Prof. D. Deepikadevi

Dr. K.Usha Savithri

Dr. D.Solomon

Dr. S.Subash

Dr. K.M. Keerthika

Dr. B. Lingeswaran

Mr. B. Damodhara Prasath

Ms. P. Sherli

International Journal of English Literature and Literary Theories (IJELLT) is a peer-reviewed, open access academic journal dedicated to promoting research and scholarship in the fields of English language, literature, and literary theories.

Published monthly, IJELLT provides a platform for academicians, scholars, educators, and researchers to present their original work to a global audience. The journal upholds the highest standards of editorial integrity and academic excellence

through a rigorous double-blind peer-review process. We welcome a wide range of submissions from theoretical and critical analyses to practical research, creative writing, and pedagogical studies related to English literature and language.

Literary Theories

The Glitch Sublime: Error, Failure, and Aesthetic Rupture in Post digital Electronic

Dr. D. Solomon Paul Raj, Assistant Professor, Department of English, Sri Krishna Arts and Science College, Coimbatore.

Dr. R. Steffi, Assistant Professor, Department of English, Nirmala College for Women, Coimbatore.

Abstract: This essay proposes the glitch sublime as a new aesthetic category for literary theory, describing the experience produced when computational failure—error, breakdown, corruption, noise—erupts within a work of electronic literature and confronts the reader with the inhuman scale and material substrate of the medium. Adapting the eighteenth-century sublime of Edmund Burke and Immanuel Kant and Jean-François Lyotard’s reflections on the inhuman, and bringing them into contact with contemporary glitch studies, the essay argues that the glitch is not merely a technical defect or a subcultural style but a distinctively post digital mode of the sublime. It defines the concept, sets out a method of media-specific analysis, identifies the formal conditions under which the glitch sublime arises, and considers its consequences for how we theorize reading, error, and the limits of representation in computational art.

Keywords: Generative AI; Formative Feedback; EAP Writing; Feedback Literacy; Human-Centred AI



IJELLT

**International Journal of English Literature and
Literary Theories**

Introduction

The sublime has always been an aesthetics of the limit—of magnitudes and powers that exceed what the mind can comprehend or the senses can hold. For Edmund Burke it arose from terror held at a safe distance, from obscurity, vastness, and privation (Burke 53–57); for Immanuel Kant it named the felt collision between imagination’s failure to present an overwhelming magnitude and reason’s demand that it be thought, a collision that ultimately exalts the rational vocation of the mind (Kant 106–08). The sublime, in short, is the aesthetic registration of a breakdown: the moment when a faculty fails and that failure becomes, paradoxically, a source of elevation. This essay argues that electronic literature has produced a new species of this old experience, one keyed not to mountains and storms but to the failure of computation itself.

Electronic literature—literary work that depends on the affordances of computation and would not exist without them—has from the start been concerned with the machine’s materiality. Yet criticism has tended to read its glitches, when it notices them, in one of two reductive ways: as technical defects to be corrected, or, following a vibrant strand of media art, as a subcultural aesthetic of “broken” beauty. Rosa Menkman’s influential account treats the glitch as a “break from an expected or conventional flow of information” that can be tactically embraced (Menkman 26–29), and Legacy Russell’s *Glitch Feminism* mobilizes the glitch as a refusal of normative categories, a productive malfunction in the social machine (Russell 23–26). These accounts are indispensable, but neither names what happens aesthetically to a reader when a literary text fails before their eyes—when words corrupt, the program stalls, the interface betrays its own substrate. For that experience this essay proposes a concept: the glitch sublime.

The claim is that the glitch can produce a genuinely sublime experience in the technical, philosophical sense—a moment in which the smooth surface of the readable text ruptures and the reader is briefly confronted with the vast, inhuman, and finally unrepresentable computational order on which the text depends. The glitch sublime is not the natural sublime relocated to screens; it is its postdigital transformation. Where the Romantic sublime stages the mind’s encounter with nature’s magnitude, the glitch sublime stages the reader’s encounter with the magnitude and alterity of the machine: the billions of operations, the indifferent substrate of voltages and addresses, the scale at which computation operates beneath the human-legible surface. The essay defines this category, distinguishes it from adjacent concepts, and shows what it allows criticism to see.

Methods

The glitch sublime may be defined as the aesthetic experience, arising in computational art and especially in electronic literature, in which a failure of the medium—error, crash, corruption, noise, or breakdown—ruptures the legible surface of the work and confronts the reader with the inhuman scale, materiality, and indifference of the computational substrate, producing a characteristic movement from disorientation to a vertiginous apprehension of limits. Three elements are essential. First, rupture: the experience requires a break in an expected flow, a moment when the interface ceases to behave as a transparent window. Second, exposure of substrate: the rupture must reveal, however briefly, the material and computational ground that the working interface normally conceals—the code, the storage, the processing. Third, the movement of the sublime: the experience must pass through a phase of overwhelm or failure of comprehension toward a reflexive apprehension of the limit itself, rather than resolving into mere annoyance or mere decorative noise.

The concept is built by bringing two traditions into contact. From aesthetics it takes the structure of the sublime as theorized by Burke and Kant and, crucially, as reworked by Jean-François Lyotard. Lyotard's sublime is the presentation of the fact that the unrepresentable exists—art that “puts forward the unrepresentable in presentation itself” (Lyotard, *The Postmodern Condition* 81)—and his late essays on “the inhuman” ask what in us is already alien to the human, including the technical systems that exceed human time and scale (Lyotard, *The Inhuman* 2–3). From media theory it takes the analysis of the glitch and of computational materiality: Menkman's typology of noise and error, Olga Goriunova and Alexei Shulgin's account of the glitch as a revelation of the machine's normally hidden “flux” of operation (Goriunova and Shulgin 114), and N. Katherine Hayles's call for “media-specific analysis” attentive to the material particularities of the platform (Hayles, *Writing Machines* 29–33).

The method that follows from this synthesis is media-specific analysis oriented toward moments of failure. Rather than reading electronic works for their thematic content alone, the analysis attends to how a work stages, provokes, or incorporates breakdown, and asks whether that breakdown produces the three-part structure defined above. The corpus of reference is the tradition of electronic literature as mapped by Hayles and, more recently, by Scott Rettberg—hypertext fiction, kinetic and generative poetry, interactive fiction, and code-based literary art—read alongside the materialist media theory of Matthew Kirschenbaum, Lev Manovich, and Jussi Parikka. Because the argument is conceptual, works are discussed as types of glitch-staging rather than through extended quotation, in keeping with the recognition that the glitch's meaning lies in its event rather than in any extractable text.

Results

Analysis identifies several formal conditions under which electronic literature generates the glitch sublime. The first and most direct is staged breakdown, in which a work deliberately corrupts its own surface—letterforms dissolving into noise, text decaying as the reader watches, an interface that progressively fails. Here the rupture is authored, and its effect depends on the reader's prior trust in the surface. The corruption is sublime rather than merely unpleasant when it opens onto the sense that beneath the failing words lies an order vast and indifferent to the reader's wish to comprehend—when, in Kant's terms, imagination's failure to hold the whole refers the reader to a magnitude that can be thought but not pictured (Kant 106).

The second condition is the exposed substrate, in which the work reveals its own code, storage, or processing as part of its aesthetic. Works in the tradition that Nick Montfort and his collaborators examine—where a single line of code generates an endless, maze-like visual text—make the gap between a trivial program and its overwhelming output into the very content of the piece (Montfort et al. 3–5). The sublime arises in the disproportion: a few human-legible instructions yield a scale of pattern that the eye cannot exhaust, and the reader apprehends the machine's capacity to generate beyond any human horizon. Kirschenbaum's forensic insistence that data persists materially, in traces beneath the visible, deepens this effect: the substrate exposed by the glitch is not nothing but a dense, layered materiality usually sealed from view (Kirschenbaum 10–13).

The third condition is temporal and scalar vertigo. Lyotard's inhuman names the way technical systems operate on scales of time and complexity alien to lived human experience (Lyotard, *The Inhuman* 2–3); Jussi Parikka's media geology extends the alien scale downward into deep time and the mineral substrate of computation (Parikka 4–6), and Timothy Morton's hyperobjects name entities so massively distributed in time and space that they defeat ordinary perception (Morton 1–2). The glitch can become a small aperture onto these vast scales: the momentary failure makes palpable the immense, distributed, and finally unrepresentable infrastructure on which the readable text floats. This is the dynamical sublime of the postdigital—not nature's storm but the network's incomprehensible extent—apprehended in the instant the surface breaks.

A fourth condition concerns the reader's position. In the natural sublime the spectator stands safely apart from the storm; in the glitch sublime the reader is implicated in the failing system, dependent on the very interface that is breaking. Marshall McLuhan's thesis that media are extensions of the human sensorium implies that a media failure is felt as a failure of one's own extended faculties (McLuhan 7–9); Jay David Bolter and Richard Grusin's account of remediation shows how the desire for transparent immediacy is always shadowed by the medium's reassertion of itself (Bolter and Grusin 21–23). The glitch is precisely the moment when hypermediacy breaks immediacy—when the medium, ignored as long as it works, surges into visibility through its failure. The reader's safe distance collapses, and the sublime acquires an intimacy the Romantic version lacked.

Discussion

Naming the glitch sublime as a distinct category does three kinds of work. First, it differentiates phenomena that current criticism tends to conflate. Not every glitch is sublime: many are merely errors, and many celebrated glitches are decorative, a texture of brokenness with no encounter with the limit. The concept supplies criteria—rupture, exposure of substrate, and the movement through overwhelm to apprehension—that distinguish the genuinely sublime glitch from the merely glitchy. It thereby refines, rather than replaces, the foundational work of Menkman, Russell, and Goriunova and Shulgin, locating within the broad field of glitch aesthetics a specific and powerful aesthetic event.

Second, the concept revises the theory of the sublime for postdigital conditions. The Romantic sublime depended on nature as the site of overwhelming magnitude and on the human subject's safe separation from it. Florian Cramer's account of the postdigital—a condition in which the novelty of “the digital” has worn off and computation has become an ordinary, even worn, environment—suggests why a new sublime should appear here (Cramer 13–17). When computation is the medium of everyday life, the failure of computation becomes the privileged occasion for an encounter with the inhuman. Alexander Galloway's analysis of protocol, the distributed control that governs networked life beneath visibility, identifies the vast object that the glitch briefly exposes (Galloway 243–45). The glitch sublime is thus the form the sublime takes once the overwhelming Other is no longer nature but the computational order in which we are immersed.

Third, the concept bears on the central problem of representation that has organized electronic literary theory since its beginnings. Hayles argued that electronic literature demands attention to the specificity of its medium and to the interplay of code and surface (Hayles, *Electronic Literature* 1–3); Espen Aarseth's cybertext showed that such works require the reader's laboring traversal rather than passive reception (Aarseth 1–2); Manovich described the layering of a human-legible cultural surface over an alien computational logic (Manovich 46–48). The glitch sublime is what happens when that layering is breached and the two strata are felt at once. It makes the medium's unrepresentable substrate momentarily present as unrepresentable—which is exactly Lyotard's definition of the sublime work, the work that presents that the unrepresentable exists (Lyotard, *The Postmodern Condition* 78). Electronic literature, on this reading, is uniquely positioned among the arts to produce the contemporary sublime, because it alone takes the computational substrate as its native material and can therefore fail in ways that expose it.

There are limits and risks to the concept that the discussion should acknowledge. The glitch sublime can be aestheticized into a marketable style, its critical charge absorbed—the fate Cramer and Russell both warn attends any oppositional aesthetic that becomes fashionable. A failure deliberately staged for sublime effect risks becoming a special effect, a frisson without genuine encounter. The concept is therefore most useful as a critical instrument for distinguishing works that truly stage the encounter with computational alterity from those that merely cite its imagery. Used this way, the glitch sublime helps criticism take seriously the moments when literary computation breaks—moments easy to dismiss as mistakes—and to read in them an aesthetic of the limit proper to a world made of machines.

Conclusion

The glitch sublime names a new aesthetic category for a postdigital art: the experience in which computational failure ruptures the legible surface of an electronic literary work and confronts the reader with the inhuman scale and materiality of the medium. It inherits the structure of the Burkean and Kantian sublime and the critical force of Lyotard's inhuman, joins them to the insights of glitch studies and media-specific analysis, and offers criteria for distinguishing the sublime glitch from mere error or decorative noise. As computation becomes the ordinary ground of culture, its failures become privileged sites of aesthetic experience, and electronic literature—native to the computational substrate—becomes the art best able to stage them. The glitch sublime is offered as a concept adequate to that staging, and as an invitation to read breakdown not as the failure of the work but as one of its deepest achievements.

Works Cited

1. Aarseth, Espen J. *Cybertext: Perspectives on Ergodic Literature*. Johns Hopkins UP, 1997.
2. Bolter, Jay David, and Richard Grusin. *Remediation: Understanding New Media*. MIT P, 1999.
3. Burke, Edmund. *A Philosophical Enquiry into the Origin of Our Ideas of the Sublime and Beautiful*. Edited by Adam Phillips, Oxford UP, 1990.
4. Cramer, Florian. "What Is 'Post-digital'?" *Postdigital Aesthetics: Art, Computation and Design*, edited by David M. Berry and Michael Dieter, Palgrave Macmillan, 2015, pp. 12–26.
5. Galloway, Alexander R. *Protocol: How Control Exists after Decentralization*. MIT P, 2004.
6. Goriunova, Olga, and Alexei Shulgin. "Glitch." *Software Studies: A Lexicon*, edited by Matthew Fuller, MIT P, 2008, pp. 110–19.
7. Hayles, N. Katherine. *Electronic Literature: New Horizons for the Literary*. U of Notre Dame P, 2008.
8. Hayles, N. Katherine. *Writing Machines*. MIT P, 2002.
9. Kant, Immanuel. *Critique of Judgment*. Translated by Werner S. Pluhar, Hackett, 1987.
10. Kirschenbaum, Matthew G. *Mechanisms: New Media and the Forensic Imagination*. MIT P, 2008.
11. Lyotard, Jean-François. *The Inhuman: Reflections on Time*. Translated by Geoffrey Bennington and Rachel Bowlby, Stanford UP, 1991.
12. Lyotard, Jean-François. *The Postmodern Condition: A Report on Knowledge*. Translated by Geoff Bennington and Brian Massumi, U of Minnesota P, 1984.
13. Manovich, Lev. *The Language of New Media*. MIT P, 2001.
14. McLuhan, Marshall. *Understanding Media: The Extensions of Man*. McGraw-Hill, 1964.
15. Menkman, Rosa. *The Glitch Moment(um)*. Institute of Network Cultures, 2011.
16. Montfort, Nick, et al. *10 PRINT CHR\$(205.5+RND(1)); : GOTO 10*. MIT P, 2013.
17. Morton, Timothy. *Hyperobjects: Philosophy and Ecology after the End of the World*. U of Minnesota P, 2013.
18. Parikka, Jussi. *A Geology of Media*. U of Minnesota P, 2015.
19. Rettberg, Scott. *Electronic Literature*. Polity, 2019.
20. Russell, Legacy. *Glitch Feminism: A Manifesto*. Verso, 2020.



IJELLT

**International Journal of English Literature and
Literary Theories**