



**International Journal of English Literature and
Literary Theories**

International Peer Reviewed and Refereed English Journal

Editorial Board:

Dr. R. Gobinath

Prof. Sharif Atiquzzaman

Dr. Dr. Aravindan Balakrishnan

Dr. Athisayaraj Jebakumar J

Dr. P. Dinakar

Dr.P.Chitra

Dr. M.K. Praseeda

Prof. D. Deepikadevi

Dr. K.Usha Savithri

Dr. D.Solomon

Dr. S.Subash

Dr. K.M. Keerthika

Dr. B.Lingeswaran

Mr. B. Damodhara Prasath

Ms. P. Sherli

International Journal of English Literature and Literary Theories (IJELLT) is a peer-reviewed, open access academic journal dedicated to promoting research and scholarship in the fields of English language, literature, and literary theories. Published monthly, IJELLT provides a platform for academicians, scholars, educators, and researchers to present their original work to a global audience. The journal upholds the highest standards of editorial integrity and academic excellence through a rigorous double-blind peer-review process. We welcome a wide range of submissions from theoretical and critical analyses to practical research, creative writing, and pedagogical studies related to English literature and language.

Literary Theories

Chemical Undoing: Addiction, Molecular Embodiment, and Posthuman Subjectivity in Jeet Thayil's Narcopolis

Suman Meher, Ph.D., Research Scholar, Department of English and Foreign Language,
Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

Abstract: Jeet Thayil's *Narcopolis* (2012) tells the story of lives lived at the edges — opium addicts, hijras, and sex workers navigating the chaotic, crumbling world of late-1970s Bombay. At its heart, the novel asks a quietly radical question: what happens to the self when the body is chemically transformed? While scholars have explored the novel through various lenses, this paper takes a different approach, examining how addiction and gender liminality together unravel our conventional understanding of what it means to be human. The characters in *Narcopolis* are not simply broken or marginalised people — they are bodies that have crossed into a different way of existing, where the line between a person and the substance they consume becomes impossible to draw. Using the ideas of theorists N. Katherine Hayles, Rosi Braidotti, and Fay Dennis, this paper develops the concept of chemical subjectivity to describe this blurred, unstable mode of being. It also pays close attention to how the novel's fractured structure — its shifting voices and disjointed timeline — mirrors the inner dissolution it describes. Crucially, this reading does not romanticise suffering. It instead recognises that these characters were never granted full humanity to begin with, carrying within their bodies the long shadow of colonial dispossession.

Keywords: posthumanism, chemical subjectivity, addiction, hijra embodiment, postcolonial.



IJELLT

**International Journal of English Literature and
Literary Theories**

Introduction

In a novel where the first sentence belongs not to a person but to a city, and where that city announces itself through its capacity for self-obliteration, it is perhaps fitting that the human subject should also, gradually, come undone. Jeet Thayil's debut novel *Narcopolis* (2012), shortlisted for the Man Booker Prize, opens in the opium dens of late-1970s Bombay and refuses, from its very first page, to offer the reader the consolation of a stable, bounded protagonist. This refusal is not incidental. It is, the central literary and philosophical project of the novel, a sustained dismantling of the liberal humanist subject through the figure of the addicted, chemically altered, and ontologically unstable body. Yet despite the richness of critical attention that *Narcopolis* has attracted since its publication, no published scholarship has brought the tools of posthumanist theory to bear on this dismantling. This paper sets out to fill that gap.

The existing body of scholarship on *Narcopolis* is varied and, in several respects, excellent. Panda and Konar, in a 2024 study published in the *Journal of Narrative and Language Studies*, read the novel through the lens of postmodernity, arguing that its multiple unreliable narratives enact a Barthesian death of the author and that its chronotope performs a kind of temporal destabilisation. A 2022 paper by Daniel and Mishra applies Bakhtinian carnivalesque theory to the novel's grotesque realism, while locating its marginalised characters within a tradition of postcolonial literary dissent. Existentialist approaches have also appeared: Sharma's recent reading situates Dimple, the hijra protagonist, within the frameworks of Sartre and Heidegger, interpreting her narcotic escape as a form of bad faith, a surrender of the freedom that authenticity demands (Sharma). Each of these readings illuminates something genuine about the novel. Each also, however, takes for granted what posthumanist theory precisely calls into question: the idea that characters, however marginalised or fragmented, are fundamentally humanist subjects — agents with wills, freedoms, and identities that addiction deforms but does not fundamentally exceed.

International Journal of English Literature and

The most significant recent contribution to *Narcopolis* scholarship comes from Karthik Shankar's prize-winning essay, "Spectral Trans Figures: The Ambiguous and Atemporal Hijra Body in *Narcopolis*," published in *Wasafiri* in 2024. Shankar offers a sophisticated and important analysis of the hijra body as a site of aesthetic and ideological tension.

He argues that the novel enables readers to construct a “stable image” of the feminine-identifying hijra body through acts of voyeurism, only to immediately destabilise that image by writing the hijra’s existence outside temporal logics — the body becomes, in his formulation, spectral, never quite present, never quite living. Shankar ultimately reads this ambiguity as a form of epistemic violence: the novel memorialises the hijra body in modes that foreclose its living, breathing complexity (Shankar). This is a powerful and ethically alert argument, and the present paper both acknowledges its force and departs from its framework. Where Shankar’s analysis proceeds through trans studies and the politics of representation, this paper asks a different set of questions: not only how the hijra body is rendered visible or invisible, but how addiction — understood as a chemical, material, and ontological force — works alongside gender liminality to produce a body that exceeds the boundaries of the human as such. The hijra and the addict in Thayil’s novel are not simply marginalised humans. They are figures through whom the very category of the human is dismantled and remade.

The theoretical framework that makes this argument possible is posthumanism, particularly as developed by N. Katherine Hayles and Rosi Braidotti. For Hayles, posthumanism marks “the end of a certain conception of the human” — specifically, the liberal humanist subject, a figure defined by autonomy, rational will, and the sovereign ownership of a bounded self (Hayles 286). This conception, Hayles notes pointedly, “may have applied, at best, to that fraction of humanity who had the wealth, power, and leisure to conceptualize themselves as autonomous beings exercising their will through individual agency and choice” (286–287). The characters of *Narcopolis* — hijras, opium addicts, sex workers, migrants — were never included in that fraction. Their bodies were never the clean, self-governing bodies that liberal humanism assumed as its norm. Thayil’s novel does not merely depict their exclusion; it theorises a different kind of embodiment altogether, one in which the chemical and the corporeal are so thoroughly entangled that the very question of where the self ends and the substance begins ceases to be answerable.

International Journal of English Literature and

Literary Theories

Braidotti’s posthumanism, developed through her neo-vitalist and Deleuzian framework in *The Posthuman* (2013), provides a complementary set of tools. Rather than experiencing the dissolution of the humanist subject as a catastrophe, Braidotti frames it as an opportunity for affirmative transformation — a becoming that does not return to any prior wholeness but moves forward into new configurations of subjectivity (Braidotti 52–56). This is not a comfortable process. In *Narcopolis*, there is nothing utopian about Dimple’s chemical dependency, or about the steady deterioration of Rashid’s opium den as heroin displaces opium and the city urbanises into new regimes of control.

But Braidotti's framework, read critically and attentively, allows us to see that these bodies are not simply failed human subjects. They are bodies in the midst of a different kind of becoming — one that the novel's form, with its fragmented chronology, its multiple narrators, and its dissolution of stable interiority, formally enacts.

This dissolution of the subject is given its sharpest materialist articulation in what Fay Dennis, in a landmark 2023 essay in *BioSocieties*, calls the “chemical turn” in the study of addiction. Drawing on Deleuze and Guattari's concept of the molecular, Dennis argues that chemicals render the body “molecular” in a sense that fundamentally erodes the boundary between the drug and the person who takes it: Rather than a chemical drug entering a biological body, there are chemical interactions that expand the boundaries of where one ends and the other begins. This is not merely a pharmacological observation. It is an ontological one. If chemicals dissolve the membrane between inside and outside, between self and substance, then the addicted body is not simply a human body that has been weakened or distorted. It is a body that has entered a different ontological register — what this paper calls a chemical subjectivity. Thayil's characters inhabit exactly this register, and it is the novel's great achievement and its underappreciated theoretical ambition that it renders this habitation with such unflinching precision.

It is also worth pausing here to note the postcolonial dimension that any post humanist reading of *Narcopolis* must engage honestly. Several scholars have noted the novel's complex relationship to Orientalism: Thayil has been read as simultaneously exposing and aestheticizing the colonial stereotype of Bombay as a city of opium, excess, and Eastern degradation. This critique is not to be dismissed. But it does not undermine the posthumanist argument so much as complicate and enrich it. The question of which bodies have historically been denied the status of the human subject is, after all, inseparable from the history of colonialism. As postcolonial scholars from Frantz Fanon onward have argued, the colonial project was precisely a project of dehumanisation and the bodies in Thayil's novel bear the marks of that history. To read them as posthuman is not to aestheticize their marginalisation but to name the process by which the liberal humanist subject was already constructed against their exclusion.

The Opium Den as Posthuman Space

Rashid's opium den on Shukla ji Street does not announce itself. It contracts in the daytime and expands at night, known only to those who already know where to look, a room within a city that obeys the city's geography but none of its social logic. Thayil describes the street itself as "a fever grid of rooms, boom-boom rooms, family rooms, god rooms, secret rooms that contracted in the daytime and expanded at night" (Thayil 135), and the den occupies the most inward of these concentric secretcies. From the opening pages, the Khana is established as a space that operates by principles entirely its own: while outside the city is frenetic, accelerating, governed by commerce and violence and the forward press of urban time, inside the den that momentum ceases. Time slows. Bodies are horizontal. The smoke from Mr Lee's antique Chinese pipe drifts at its own pace. This quality of spatial difference of being materially real and yet organised by a logic orthogonal to the world beyond its walls is what Michel Foucault, in his foundational 1986 essay "Of Other Spaces," identifies as the defining property of a heterotopia: a real counter-site in which all the other real sites that can be found within the culture are simultaneously represented, contested, and inverted. Rashid's Khana is, this section argues, exactly such a space — but more than that. It is a heterotopia that does not merely deviate from the social norm; it systematically dismantles the ontological conditions on which liberal humanist subjectivity depends: rational agency, bounded selfhood, linear time, and voluntary intentionality. What the den produces in their place is a posthuman subjectivity — a way of being in the world that is constituted not by will or reason but by chemical entanglement and molecular becoming.

Foucault distinguishes between two broad categories of heterotopia. Heterotopias of crisis are spaces reserved for individuals undergoing liminal transitions — adolescents, pregnant women, the elderly — and they belong primarily to earlier social formations. Heterotopias of deviation, which have displaced them in modern societies, are spaces where "individuals whose behaviour is deviant in relation to the required mean or norm are placed," including rest homes, psychiatric hospitals, and prisons (Foucault 25). Foucault also explicitly names brothels among his examples of heterotopias of illusion — spaces that "expose every real space" by presenting themselves as a reflection of its excess and underbelly (27). Rashid's Khana sits precisely at the convergence of these two categories: it is a deviation heterotopia in that it gathers those the city has produced as its surplus — addicts, hijras, refugees, failed businessmen, sex workers — but it also functions as a heterotopia of illusion in that its dreaming, opiated interiority throws into relief the restlessness and instrumentality of the city outside.

The scholars Daniel and Mishra, in their study of the novel published in the IAFOR Journal of Arts and Humanities, describe how the text presents “the haunting reality of the life of marginalised and oppressed individuals” through precisely this lens of spatial and social otherness (Daniel and Mishra). The posthumanist reading offered here this observation further: what is at stake in the den is not only social marginality but the production of a qualitatively different mode of subjectivity, one in which the borders of the self are dissolved and remade by chemical processes that the liberal humanist framework has no vocabulary to describe.

The most concentrated site of this dissolution in the novel is the ceremony of pipe preparation itself. Dimple’s role as pipe-maker, the figure through whose hands every customer’s dose pass is described with a specificity and care that goes well beyond social documentation. What Thayil stages in these scenes is the moment at which the boundary between person and substance begins to give way. The drug is heated, prepared, shaped, and then drawn through the pipe into the body. At no point in this process is the line between inside and outside, between self and chemical, a sharp one. This is the insight that Fay Dennis develops, drawing on Gilles Deleuze and Félix Guattari, in her argument for what she calls the “chemical turn” in the study of addiction. For Dennis, the standard biomedical account of addiction — which treats the drug as an external agent acting on a pre-existing, bounded human body — misses what is actually happening at the molecular level. Chemicals, she argues, “render bodies ‘molecular’” in Deleuze and Guattari’s sense, such that “there are chemical interactions that expand the boundaries of where one ends and the other begins” (Dennis). This is precisely the ontological condition that Thayil’s opium den enacts.

Nowhere is the posthuman character of the den more visible than in its relationship to time. The prologue of *Narcopolis* runs for seven pages without a single full stop, spoken by a hallucinating Dom Ullis in a voice that moves between past and present without any clear signal of when the shift occurs (Thayil 1–7). Panda and Konar, in their analysis of the novel’s postmodern chronotope, note that this creates confusion in temporality inside the text as Dom narrates the past and speaks in the present without any indication of when this shift is happening. That formal observation is correct and valuable, but the posthumanist reading adds a more fundamental explanation: the prologue’s temporal dissolution is not a narrative experiment imposed from without. It enacts, at the level of prose, the lived temporality of the chemically dependent body. The body on opium does not experience time as linear sequence or purposive progression — as the model of rational humanist agency requires.

It experiences time as a circular rhythm of need and satisfaction, governed by chemical demand rather than social calendar or deliberate plan. The novel makes this explicit when the character Sopor, in a later moment of self-reflexive commentary, is said to be preparing a talk on how “heroin annihilates the *idée* of time as a logical and chronological imperative” (Thayil 242). This is not simply a character’s observation about drug experience. It is the novel’s declaration of its own posthumanist project: the extermination of the temporality on which liberal humanism’s rational subject depends is not a side effect of addiction but its central ontological event.

The posthuman dissolution of rational selfhood within the den does not produce isolation. On the contrary, one of the most striking features of Rashid’s khana is the form of community it generates. The regular inhabitants — Dimple, Dom, Mr Lee, Rumi, Salim, Bengali — are drawn from radically different national, ethnic, gender, and class positions. Mr Lee is a Chinese refugee whose history runs through Maoist persecution and opium addiction in equal measure. Dimple, a hijra, was given away by her mother at nine and introduced to opium by Mr Lee himself to ease the pain of castration “They used a piece of split bamboo on my penis and testicles and held me down. The bamboo was so tight I felt nothing, until afterwards, when they poured hot oil on my wound. That was when I felt the pain, and more, something strange, I was sure the pain would set me free (Thayil 66-67). Dom is a cosmopolitan narrator deported from New York. Rashid is a Muslim khanawala who conducts himself by his own moral code. What binds these figures is not kinship, language, religion, or class. It is the shared chemical dependency that structures their daily existence and holds them, however loosely, in relation to one another and to the space that sustains them. Rosi Braidotti, arguing for an affirmative posthumanism in *The Posthuman*, proposes that the dissolution of the humanist subject opens possibilities for “new configurations of interdependence and co-becoming” that were foreclosed by the liberal model. Rashid’s khana is a precise literary embodiment of this idea. It is a community constituted not by the voluntary association of rational individuals but by molecular entanglement — by the chemical bonds that link each body to opium and, through opium, to the other bodies that share the space and the ritual.

The novel's most powerful statement about the posthuman character of the opium den is made not in its description but in its destruction. As the narrative moves from the 1970s into the late 1980s, opium is displaced by *garad* — low-grade heroin smuggled from Pakistan — and the den's community begins to fracture and die. The substitution is not merely pharmacological. It marks a fundamental change in the regime of chemical embodiment the *Khana* sustained. Where opium produced a slow communal molecular becoming, heroin operates at a different speed and with a different logic: it accelerates, isolates, and ultimately destroys. As Daniel and Mishra observe, "the ubiquity of drugs acts as an important motif: beginning with opium and culminating in cocaine at the end of the narrative, the jump to each new drug represents a descent further into the gallows of mindless addiction". When Dom returns to Shuklaji Street, the *khana* is gone. Rashid's son Jamal has converted the space into a call centre, a site of maximally productive, digitally integrated, economically legible labour that is the spatial antithesis of everything the den had been. The replacement of the posthuman ecology of the opium den by the call centre's rational productivity is not incidental. It represents the re-inscription of liberal humanist order — purposive agency, linear time, bounded identity, economic subjectivity — on the very space from which that order had been held at bay for three decades. N. Katherine Hayles, arguing that the posthuman condition marks the unravelling of "a certain conception of the human" defined by autonomous rational selfhood, would recognise in this spatial transformation the re-assertion of precisely that conception.

What this reading of Rashid's *khana* reveals is a space that the existing critical literature on *Narcopolis* has not yet fully reckoned with. Sharma's existentialist reading, which treats the den as a site of bad faith where freedom is quietly surrendered, leaves intact the very framework of autonomous humanist selfhood that the den systematically dismantles. Panda and Konar's postmodern reading, incisive on narrative technique and chronotope, does not pursue the question of what kind of subjectivity the novel's temporal dissolution actually produce. The posthumanist reading developed here argues that the den is not a space of failed humanity. It is a heterotopia that, through chemical entanglement, molecular embodiment, and the reorganisation of time and social relation around corporeal need, generates a mode of being that exceeds the humanist subject at every point. The den produces posthuman subjects — figures whose dissolution is also, in Braidotti's terms, a form of becoming — and it is through these figures that the novel does its deepest philosophical work. Chief among them is Dimple: the hijra pipe-maker in whom chemical dependency and gender liminality converge to produce what the following section will call the double posthuman subject.

Dimple and the Queered Posthuman Body

Of all the figures who pass through Rashid's khana, none carries the weight of the novel's argument more fully than Dimple. She is, as Daniel and Mishra rightly observe, "unmistakably the central character of the novel" — the one whose history the reader pieces together across the whole of Book One, and whose presence threads through every subsequent section even after she disappears from view (Daniel and Mishra). She is a hijra, a pipe-maker, a prostitute, an addict, and, through her friendship with Mr Lee, something resembling a daughter. She is also, this section will argue, the figure in whom *Narcopolis* concentrates its most sustained and searching inquiry into the nature of posthuman subjectivity. Where the opium den functions as a posthuman space in which the conditions of liberal humanist selfhood are systematically suspended, Dimple is the character who embodies the consequences of that suspension most completely. Her body is the site on which two distinct forms of dehumanisation converge and compound each other: the gender liminality of the hijra, whose identity falls entirely outside the binary that Western liberal humanism takes as a founding condition of personhood, and the chemical transformation of the addict, whose selfhood is remade by molecular entanglement with a substance. The result is the "double posthuman": a figure whose subjectivity exceeds the humanist model not at one point but at two simultaneously, and in whom the novel's theoretical ambition reaches its fullest expression.

The narrative of Dimple's body begins before she appears in the den. Sold by her mother in childhood to a woman known as a Daima, she was castrated before the age of ten in a procedure carried out with whisky and opium as anaesthetics and hot oil as antiseptic — a passage of the novel that Daniel and Mishra describe as offering "the grotesque imagery of the castration presented as an ordinary occurrence," the casualness of its tone deepening rather than diluting its horror (Daniel and Mishra). The castration is not merely a biographical event. It is, in the novel's terms, the initial moment of Dimple's posthuman becoming: the moment at which the biological body undergoes a violent, involuntary alteration that places it permanently outside the categories of both male and female, both the socially legible man and the socially legible woman. From this moment, Dimple inhabits what Karthik Shankar, in his 2024 Wasafiri essay "Spectral Trans Figures," calls an "atemporal" and ambiguous bodily existence: the novel writes her "outside temporal logics" in ways that make her, in Shankar's reading, spectral and memorialised rather than living (Shankar).

This analysis is perceptive and important. But the posthumanist framework this paper proposes reframes the question: where Shankar's reading focuses on the violence of the novel's representational strategies toward Dimple's hijra body, this section asks what Dimple's body actually produces ontologically — what kind of subjectivity emerges from the convergence of gender liminality and chemical dependency, and why that subjectivity demands a category that neither trans studies nor existentialism has yet supplied.

The hijra, as a category of gender and social being, already challenges the liberal humanist framework in ways that reach far deeper than simple marginalisation. As Jessica Hinchy's historical work demonstrates, hijras have occupied a complex and contested position within South Asian society, experiencing varying forms of recognition, regulation, and marginalization across different historical periods (Hinchy). This history complicates binary models of social inclusion and exclusion and invites reconsideration of normative assumptions about gendered personhood. This non-correspondence is not accidental. As Judith Butler argues in *Gender Trouble* (1990), gender is not an essence that bodies express but a performance that bodies enact through repeated stylised actions, and the categories of “man” and “woman” are produced and maintained only through that repetition. The hijra body, which performs neither the masculine nor the feminine in the terms the binary prescribes, exposes the synthesized nature of those categories from the outside. A paper published in the *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts* on heteronormativity and performativity in *Narcopolis* observes this dynamic directly in Thayil's text, noting how Dimple's identity refuses the stable gender performances that heteronormative society demands, while the novel presents gender itself as “a free-floating artifice” through her figure (Sahar and Rehman). Dimple herself, at one point in the novel, articulates this radical openness: “The image has nothing to do with the truth. And what is the truth? Whatever you want it to be. Men are women and women are men. Everybody is everything” (Thayil 57). This last three-word declaration is one of the most compressed posthumanist statements in the novel. It does not simply celebrate gender fluidity. It dismantles the very idea of a stable, bounded gender identity as the ground of selfhood — the idea that the liberal humanist subject requires as its most basic condition.

To this first form of posthuman becoming, chemical dependency adds a second. Dimple's introduction to opium comes through Mr Lee, who gave her first dose to ease the chronic physical pain that followed her castration (St. John Mandel). The origin of her addiction is therefore not incidental to her gender history: it is directly consequent upon it. The body that is chemically remade by opiates is already a body that has been physically remade by surgical violence. Opium does not simply act on a pre-existing, intact biological body. It acts on a body that has already been altered, that already inhabits a different ontological register from the normative body that liberal humanism assumes. Drawing on Fay Dennis's account of chemical entanglement, one might argue that opium and Dimple's body enter into interactions that "expand the boundaries of where one ends and the other begins" (Dennis 547). In *Narcopolis*, this process occurs within a body whose boundaries have already been rendered unstable by gendered and corporeal transformation. The result is a layering of posthuman transformations: the hijra body that has been surgically remade is then chemically entangled with a substance that further dissolves its boundaries, producing a subjectivity whose relationship to the liberal humanist self is not one of failure or damage but of radical departure. N. Katherine Hayles's observation that the liberal humanist subject was always "a fraction of humanity" — those with wealth, power, and leisure to conceptualise themselves as autonomous agents — applies with double force to Dimple. She was never included in that fraction on the grounds of gender. She is excluded from it again on the grounds of chemical dependency. Her subjectivity occupies, therefore, a position doubly outside the humanist norm.

The novel marks this double exclusion with a small but revealing linguistic detail. At one point, Dimple expresses her discomfort when a character uses the English word "eunuch" to describe her, recounting a "strange conversation that filled her with dismay because of the way he says the English word 'eunuch' as if to disparage her and women like her: he never used the word 'hijra'" (Thayil 47). The distinction Dimple draws here is not merely semantic. It marks the difference between a colonial, medicalised vocabulary that reduces her body to its surgical history — to what has been removed — and a South Asian cultural vocabulary that recognises the hijra as a social identity with its own history, kinship structures, and modes of belonging. The word "eunuch" belongs to the liberal humanist taxonomy of normalcy and deviance: it names a body defined by lack, by what it is not.

The word “hijra” names a different kind of being altogether, one that does not take the normative male or female body as its reference point. In posthumanist terms, Dimple’s preference for the word “hijra” is a refusal of the humanist framework’s classificatory violence — a small but deliberate act of ontological self-determination in the midst of a life defined by involuntary bodily transformation. Rosi Braidotti’s argument that the posthuman condition opens possibilities for affirmative reconfigurations of subjectivity that the humanist model foreclosed. finds its literary embodiment in this single, quiet act of self-naming.

In the later sections of the novel, as garad displaces opium and the Khana’s community fractures, Dimple’s posthuman becoming reaches its most painful and most revealing stage. Sharma’s existentialist reading notes that the novel “stages moments of painful clarity and existential reckoning, especially with special reference to Dimple’s later reflections on her life” (Sharma). This is accurate as a description. But the posthumanist framework reinterprets those moments of clarity: they are not the flicker of an authentic humanist self-reasserting itself against the bad faith of addiction. They are the moments at which the double posthuman subject registers, with full lucidity, the extent of her departure from the humanist order — the gap between the life she inhabits and the life that the liberal model of personhood would have made available to her, had she ever been eligible for it. Near the end of the narrative, Dimple expresses a desire to leave Bombay with Dom. Significantly, her wish is not framed as a desire to recover a prior identity or bodily integrity; instead, the novel presents her as moving forward from the subjectivity she has already become. There is no prior version to return to. Her subjectivity has never been humanist in the first place. The only question, at the end, is whether the posthuman becoming she has undergone can find a space in which it can continue, or whether the city’s transition to a new regime of chemical and economic order will extinguish it entirely. That the novel does not resolve this question is not a weakness. It is the precise and honest conclusion of its posthumanist argument.

International Journal of English Literature and Literary Theories

What emerges from this reading of Dimple is a figure who cannot be adequately understood by any of the frameworks that existing scholarship on Narcopolis has brought to bear on her. The existentialist reading sees her as a subject who has surrendered freedom. The trans studies reading sees her as a body whose living complexity is foreclosed by representational violence.

The posthumanist reading proposed here sees both of these insights as partial truths within a larger picture: Dimple is a figure in whom gender liminality and chemical dependency converge to produce a subjectivity that doubly exceeds the liberal humanist framework, that operates by different ontological principles from those that framework assumes, and that the novel — in its formal fragmentation, its temporal instability, its refusal of resolved interiority — formally enacts alongside her. She is the novel's most complete answer to the question that this paper poses: what kind of subject does the chemically altered, gender-liminal body produce? The answer is not a damaged human subject. It is something else — a body beyond the human in the fullest sense. Furthermore, Cornwell increased the representation of female leads in crime fiction. As a highly qualified forensic pathologist, Scarpetta defies gender norms and holds a position of power in her field. Because of her fame, there are now more varied depictions of women in detective and crime stories.

Conclusion

Thayil has said that writing *Narcopolis* was “the opposite of catharsis” — that instead of expelling difficult experience, it put bad feelings back into him (Wikipedia). There is something quietly posthumanist even in that description: the book as a chemical process rather than a therapeutic one, a circulation of feeling between body and text rather than a clean expulsion and resolution. The bodies in *Narcopolis* do not achieve catharsis either. They dissolve, transform, lose their boundaries, and in losing them, become something the novel asks us to recognise not as lesser versions of the human but as something genuinely beyond it. To read those bodies with the theoretical seriousness they deserve is not to aestheticize their suffering. It is to take absolutely what the novel itself insists upon from its very first page: that the human, as liberalism has imagined it, was always a partial and exclusionary construction, and that the bodies it excluded — chemically altered, gender-liminal, postcolonial, poor — have always been producing, in the spaces left to them, subjectivities that exceed it.

Works Cited

1. BookRags Study Guide. *Narcopolis Summary & Study Guide*. BookRags, 2014, www.bookrags.com/studyguide-narcopolis/.
2. Braidotti, Rosi. *The Posthuman*. Polity Press, 2013.
3. Butler, Judith. *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. Routledge, 1990.
4. Daniel, Subin T., and Binod Mishra. "Jeet Thayil's *Narcopolis*: A Testimony to Life in the Margins." *IAFOR Journal of Arts and Humanities*, vol. 8, no. 2, 2021, doi:10.22492/ijah.8.2.05.
5. Deleuze, Gilles, and Félix Guattari. *A Thousand Plateaus: Capitalism and Schizophrenia*. Translated by Brian Massumi, University of Minnesota Press, 1987.
6. Dennis, Fay. "Chemical Species: The Art and Politics of Living With(out) Drugs After Addiction." *BioSocieties*, vol. 18, no. 3, 2023, pp. 545–566.
7. Fanon, Frantz. *The Wretched of the Earth*. Translated by Constance Farrington, Grove Press, 1963.
8. Foucault, Michel. "Of Other Spaces." Translated by Jay Miskowic. *Diacritics*, vol. 16, no. 1, 1986, pp. 22–27.
9. Hayles, N. Katherine. *How We Became Posthuman: Virtual Bodies in Cybernetics, Literature, and Informatics*. University of Chicago Press, 1999.
10. Hinchy, Jessica. "Hijras and South Asian Historiography." *History Compass*, vol. 20, no. 1, 2022, e12706, doi:10.1111/hic3.12706.
11. Merleau-Ponty, Maurice. *Phenomenology of Perception*. Translated by Donald Landes, Routledge, 2012.
12. Panda, Punyashree, and Sayani Konar. "Exploring *Narcopolis* as a Postmodern Narrative and Semiotic Text." *Journal of Narrative and Language Studies*, vol. 12, no. 24, 2024, pp. 35–49.
13. Radden, Jennifer. "Addiction, Identity, and the Self." *Philosophy, Psychiatry, and Psychology*, vol. 17, no. 3, 2010, pp. 193–202.
14. Sahar, Razia, and Abdul Rehman. "Heteronormativity and Performativity in Jeet Thayil's *Narcopolis*." *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts*, vol. 8, no. 10, 2020, pp. 1–6.
15. Shankar, Karthik. "Spectral Trans Figures: The Ambiguous and Atemporal Hijra Body in *Narcopolis*." *Wasafiri*, vol. 39, no. 1, 2024, pp. 81–91, doi:10.1080/02690055.2024.2277050.
16. Sharma, Narinder K. "Freedom in Fragments: An Existential Reading of Jeet Thayil's *Narcopolis*." Working paper / unpublished manuscript, 2024–25.
17. St. John Mandel, Emily. "Dispatches from an Opium Den: Jeet Thayil's *Narcopolis*." *The Millions*, 26 June 2012, themillions.com/2012/06/dispatches-from-an-opium-den-jeet-thayils-narcopolis.html.
18. Thayil, Jeet. *Narcopolis*. Faber and Faber, 2012.
19. Verma, Renu. "Substance and City: Exploring Drug Culture and Urban Marginalization in Jeet Thayil's *Narcopolis*." *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, 2024.
20. Weinberg, Darin. "Sociological Perspectives on Addiction." *Sociology Compass*, vol. 5, no. 4, 2011, pp. 298–310.