



**International Journal of English Literature and  
Literary Theories**

**International Peer Reviewed and Refereed English Journal**

**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGLISH LITERATURE AND LITERARY THEORIES (IJELLT)**

ISSN: 3107-6505

Vol.:2: Issue: 2: 2026.

(International Peer Reviewed and refereed English Journal)

**Editorial Board:**

Dr. R. Gobinath

Prof. Sharif Atiquzzaman

Dr. Dr. Aravindan Balakrishnan

Dr. Athisayaraj Jebakumar J

Dr. P. Dinakar

Dr.P.Chitra

Dr. M.K. Praseeda

Prof. D. Deepikadevi

Dr. K.Usha Savithri

Dr. D.Solomon

Dr. S.Subash

Dr. K.M. Keerthika

Dr. B.Lingeswaran

Mr. B. Damodhara Prasath

Ms. P. Sherli

**International Journal of English Literature and Literary Theories (IJELLT) is a peer-reviewed, open access academic journal dedicated to promoting research and scholarship in the fields of English language, literature, and literary theories. Published monthly, IJELLT provides a platform for academicians, scholars, educators, and researchers to present their original work to a global audience. The journal upholds the highest standards of editorial integrity and academic excellence through a rigorous double-blind peer-review process. We welcome a wide range of submissions from theoretical and critical analyses to practical research, creative writing, and pedagogical studies related to English literature and language.**

**Literary Theories**

---

### Hybrid Bodies, Hybrid Identities: Intertextual Representation of Disability in Postcolonial World Literature

**A. Settu**, Research Scholar, Department of English, PSG College of Arts & Science, Coimbatore.  
**Dr. R. Sudha**, Research Supervisor & Assistant Professor, Department of English, PSG College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore.

**Abstract:** In postcolonial world literature, disability appears not only as a medical or social phenomenon but also as a complex site of cultural, historical and ideological negotiations. This paper contends that postcolonial writers use disability as a hybrid identity located at the intersections of body, nation, and culture through intertextual engagements with myths, colonial narratives, and canonical Western texts. Based on Julia Kristeva's theory of intertextuality, Homi K. Bhabha's notion of cultural hybridity and the "Third Space," and the critical frameworks of David T. Mitchell and Sharon L. Snyder in the field of critical disability studies, this paper will analyze how disability is resignified from a colonial signifier of deficiency to a potent form of cultural resistance and narrative agency. Through close readings of Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children*, Indra Sinha's *Animal's People*, and J.M. Coetzee's *Life & Times of Micheal K*, this paper will illustrate how disabled bodies are used liminal, in-between spaces that materialize postcolonial trauma, national disintegration, and the reconstitution of hybrid identities. This paper will conclude that disability, when viewed through the intertextual and postcolonial perspectives, is a rich metaphor for hybrid cultural formations in world literatures, thus subverting dominant discourses of body, identity and nation.

**Keywords:** Disability Studies, Intertextuality, Cultural Hybridity, Postcolonial Literature, Hybrid Identity, Third Space



IJELLT

International Journal of English Literature and  
Literary Theories

## Introduction

Postcolonial world literatures are deeply inscribed with the traces of fractured identities that are produced through colonial violence, cultural displacement, and historical trauma. In this context, the role of disability is critical but often overlooked. In colonial discourse, the disabled bodies are often represented as a metaphor for degeneration, deficiency, and abnormality, which legitimized the imperial ideologies of physical and cognitive deficiency as equivalent to racial and cultural inferiority. However, postcolonial writers challenge this reductionist legacy in a racial manner by representing disability as a complex site of identity formation, resistance, and cultural hybridity.

This paper places the issue of disability squarely within the framework of the seminar's theme, "From Text to Context: Intertextuality and Cultural Hybridisation in World Literatures." This paper contends that disability is a hybrid category that problematises and subverts the binary oppositions of normality/abnormality, colonizer/colonized, tradition/modernity, and body/nation. By using intertextuality, postcolonial writers subvert the dominant narratives of myths, epics, colonial archives, and Western canonical texts to transform disabling representations into acts of creative resistance. In this process, disabled characters move from the margins as narrators and agents of meaning.

In these works, disability is figured as a liminal, "in-between" state that is at once neither purely nor simply metaphorical, reflecting the postcolonial subject's own struggle with identity in the face of national disintegration. Through their focus on disabled protagonists, these authors subvert the dominant ideologies of bodily perfection and national unity, revealing instead the necessarily fractured, plural, and hybrid nature of postcolonial societies. Through an intertextual and disability studies based-analysis of three key texts- Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children*, Indra Sinha's *Animal's People*, and J.M. Coetzee's *Life & Times of Micheal K*, this research project will show how these novels have refigured disability as a productive form of cultural hybridity and narrative subversion, and so shed new light on the possibilities of identity, nation, and embodiment in world literatures.

**Theoretical Framework:**

This paper will synthesize three overlapping theoretical frameworks- intertextuality, cultural hybridity, and critical disability studies-to analyze the concept of disability as a site of hybrid cultural production in postcolonial literatures. Julia Kristeva's theory of intertextuality, developed from Mikhail Bakhtin's dialogism, argues that texts are necessarily intertextual mosaics that absorb, rework, and subvert previous discourses. In the postcolonial situation, this becomes the moment of subversion: authors deliberately rework colonial discourses, indigenous myths, epics, and Western canonical texts to subvert dominant discourses of disability.

Homi K. Bhabha's concepts of cultural 'mixing' and 'Third Space,' offer us an important tool for considering how identities might be created. As Bhabha argues, hybrid flourishes in those cloudy 'in-between' zones where colonial power and subaltern perspectives intersect, resulting in new forms of cultural meaning that blur and defy binary oppositions. If such concepts are intersected with the issues of disability, we might think of the body of disabled individuals as being like 'Third Space', 'not normal', 'not other', but situated at the interstices where colonialist body hierarchy, indigenous forms of difference, and post-colonial living collide.

Critical Disability Studies offers a complementary approach. The concept of "narrative prosthesis" developed by David T. Mitchell and Sharon L. Snyder shows how disability has been as a literary crutch to support normalizing ideologies, frequently standing in for moral, social or national deficiency. However, postcolonial writers overturn this usage by giving disabled characters narrative agency. Critics such as Clare Barker and Ato Quayson also explore the relationships between disability, postcolonialism, and power, illustrating how the body reflects structures of colonial and postcolonial exclusion. Thusly, by combining these frameworks, this paper contends that intertextual rewriting subverts disabling colonial and mythic tropes, the space of hybridity makes the disabled body a fertile ground for negotiation, and disrupting the notion of narrative prosthesis restores narratives and political agency to the disabled character. Ultimately, these frameworks argue that disability in the text is not represented as a lack, but rather as a site of hybridity that seeks to reveal the fragmented and imaginative realities of post-colonial identity and nation-formation.

### **Intertextual Rewriting and Hybrid Disability Identities:**

In Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children*, Saleem Sinai is not merely a person but a living collage, a person whose frail body floated in tandem with India's jagged postcolonial heartbeat. As India became independent at exactly the time of Saleem's birth, a constant in his body was a dripping nose, a rising deafness, and eventually, a body that literally came apart, linking personal disability with India's travails. Saleem's disability is not mere ornament but a living, breathing path of India's partition wounds, Emergency rule, and the messy, violent birth of its modern state. Rushdie combines his horizons by drawing upon the vast canvas of the Indian epics such as the Mahabharata and interweaving them with the telepathic web created by the midnight children. While myths frequently place crippled characters such as the blind bard at the singular center of truth, Rushdie instead unleashes disability as a vocal and collective phenomenon. Saleem's body and mind lie in what Homi K. Bhabha dominates the Third Space—a recruiting ground for new identities of hybridity ambivalently locatable on a spectrum between strength and weakness, myths and history, the individual and the national. Through this collusion of references, disability transforms from the colonial lack into a source for knowledge, dialogue, and cultural resistance and signifies the real Indian notion: plural, ambivalent, and hybrid itself.

Indra Sinha's *Animal's People* presents a highly corporeal and politicized representation of hybrid disability in postcolonial literature. The story is told through the voice of the narrator "Animal" who is deformed by the Bhopal gas tragedy and refuses bipedalism and human categorization in favor of a deliberate construction of a human-animal hybrid identity that directly opposes colonial and capitalist definitions of bodily integrity and productivity. The text enters into a critical dialogue with colonial definitions of animal-human hierarchies, especially Rudyard Kipling's *The Jungle Book* and other colonial fables that employed animal symbolism to represent racial and civilizational supremacy. Sinha reverses these colonial definitions: Animal's four-legged stance and self-naming are acts of deliberate hybridity, which convert colonial definitions of dehumanization into epistemic and narrative power. His disabled and hybrid body is a living archive of environmental violence, corporate imperialism, and postcolonial state neglect. Animal's own story telling of his life in unmediated and untranslated Khaufpuri English undermines Mitchell and Snyder's "narrative prosthesis." Disability in this text is not a symbolic crutch but a resistant cultural formation that reveals colonial legacies while imagining alternative, non-normative modes of existence and community.

J.M. Coetzee's *Life & Times of Micheal K* offers a profoundly subversive and radical notion of disabled resistance in apartheid South Africa. Micheal K, with his cleft lip, limited speech, and apparent intellectual disability, inhabits a war-torn environment as a symbol of retreat and minimalist survival rather than resistance and heroism. The text intertextually references colonial travel and settlement literature (Such as *Robinson Crusoe*) and Kafkaesque absurd bureaucratic nightmares. Mitchell K's gardening and subvert these tropes: Instead of conquering the land or resisting through political activism, he sustains a hybrid, liminal life that resists both colonial and anti-colonial ideologies. Micheal K's disability is a form of strategic opacity, which enables him to resist the imperative of legible subjectivity enforced by the state.

In Bhabha's Third Space, Micheal K is the embodiment of hybrid marginality, situated neither fully inside nor fully outside the dominant culture as a symbol of active rebellion. His disabled body resists the ablest presuppositions of both colonial power and nationalist liberationist discourses, situating disability as a site of hybridization that is profoundly subversive and transformative.

### Conclusion

This paper has shown that postcolonial world literature use intertextuality and cultural hybridization as a strategy to reconfigure disability as a complex, resistant, and generative form of identity. In Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children*, Indra Sinha's *Animal's People*, and J.M. Coetzee's *Life & Times of Micheal K*, the disabled body is not a colonial signifier of deficiency but a site of historical memory, cultural negotiation, and narrative agency. Through Intertextual rewriting of myths, colonial hierarchies, and canonical forms, these novels turn disability into a powerful metaphor for the fractured, plural, and inherently hybrid nature of postcolonial existence. Saleem Sinai's disintegrating body is an archive of India's national traumas while also producing collective insight; Animal's human-animal hybridity resists environmental and corporate violence; and Micheal K's quiet withdrawal lays bare the limitations of both colonial and nationalist ideologies. In each case, disability inhabits Bhabha's "Third Space," which upsets the rigid binarisms of normal/abnormal, colonizer/colonized, and discloses the nation discloses the notion itself as a process of cultural hybridization rather than a completed whole.

Through its engagement with disability studies, intertextuality, and postcolonial theory, this study makes a significant contribution to the trust's area of "identity, Nation, and Hybrid Cultural Formations." It demonstrates how embodied difference can enrich our understanding of cultural hybridity, offering new ways of reading identity as a process of continual negotiation and creative resistance. As world literatures continue to engage with the challenges of globalization, migration, and new forms of marginalization, disability offers a critical lens that reminds us that all identities, like the postcolonial nations they inhabit, are forever fragmented, contested, and in the making.

## References

1. Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. Routledge, 1994.
2. Barker, Clare. "Postcolonial Fiction and Disability: Exceptional Children, Metaphor, and Materiality." *Journal of Literary & Cultural Disability Studies*, vol. 4, no. 3, 2010, pp. 219–236. JSTOR, [www.jstor.org/stable/10.3828/jlcds.2010.18](http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3828/jlcds.2010.18).
3. Coetzee, J. M. *Life & Times of Michael K*. Vintage, 2004.
4. Quayson, Ato. "Disability and Postcolonial Studies." *The Cambridge Companion to Postcolonial Literary Studies*, edited by Neil Lazarus, Cambridge UP, 2004, pp. 96–113. Google Scholar, [scholar.google.com](http://scholar.google.com).
5. Kristeva, Julia. *Desire in Language: A Semiotic Approach to Literature and Art*. Columbia UP, 1980.
6. Mitchell, David T., and Sharon L. Snyder. *Narrative Prosthesis: Disability and the Dependencies of Discourse*. University of Michigan Press, 2000.
7. Snyder, Sharon L., and David T. Mitchell. "Re-engaging the Body: Disability Studies and the Resistance to Embodiment." *Public Culture*, vol. 13, no. 3, 2001, pp. 367–389. Project MUSE, [muse.jhu.edu](http://muse.jhu.edu).
8. Quayson, Ato. *Aesthetic Nervousness: Disability and the Crisis of Representation*. Columbia UP, 2007.
9. Rushdie, Salman. *Midnight's Children*. Vintage, 2006.
10. Sinha, Indra. *Animal's People*. Simon & Schuster, 2007.
11. Ghai, Anita. "Disability in the Indian Context: Postcolonial Perspectives." *Disability Studies Quarterly*, vol. 22, no. 2, 2002. Google Scholar, [dsq-sds.org](http://dsq-sds.org).